



FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

2001 AUG 21 10 10 AM

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff v. BARBARA MARTIN, Defendant

Civil No. 01-6073-AM 1) TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER 2) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION SHOULD NOT BE ENTERED

TO: BARBARA MARTIN

The United States of America's motion for temporary restraining order and order to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not be entered came before the court ex-parte on August 21, 2001.

Based upon the record, including the complaint and affidavit of Scott G. Pearson, the Court finds as follows:

1) That Barbara Martin and all those acting under her direction, control, and supervision, are enjoined from occupying, entering upon the area within the fence surrounding the HG, interfering with, impeding, damaging and/or obstructing the operations of the Bureau of Reclamation water control head gate (HG) at the A Canal, located within the city limits of Klamath Falls, Oregon.

2) There are no reasonable means available to contact the defendant

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED THAT:

Page 1 - 1) TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER; 2) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION SHOULD NOT BE ENTERED

Handwritten signature and date 8/31/01, with typed name and title.

1) Barbara Martin and all those acting under her direction, control, and supervision, who are occupying, entering upon the area within the fence surrounding the HG, interfering with, impeding, damaging and/or obstructing the operations of the Bureau of Reclamation water control head gate (HG) at the A Canal, located within the city limits of Klamath Falls, Oregon, be restrained.

2) A fax copy of this Order shall be served upon Barbara Martin and all those acting under their supervision and control.

3) Defendant Barbara Martin shall appear before this Court at 1:30 p m., on the 4th day of September, 2001, United States Courthouse, 211 E. 7th Avenue, Eugene, Oregon, and show cause, if any there be, why the activity described above should not be restrained during the pendency of this action.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the order contained in paragraph one above shall expire in ten (10) days unless extended further by order of the Court.

DATED THIS 31 day of August, 2001.


UNITED DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Submitted by:

MICHAEL W. MOSEMAN
United States Attorney, District of Oregon


James L. Sutherland, OSB #68160
Assistant United States Attorney

Page 2 - 1) TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER; 2) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY
A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION SHOULD NOT BE ENTERED